NATIVE AMERICAN TREATY RIGHTS

AIS 102 PAIGE MCKENNA

NATIVE TREATIES

- "Native nations see **treaties as foundational documents**" that are supposed to protect reserved rights of Native peoples and their lands (1)
- Colonization has influenced legal systems of the United States to value corporate interests over honoring the terms of these foundational documents (2)
- Native activism fighting for treaty rights has been sparked due to legal systems in the United States infringeingupon reserved treaty rights



CRITICAL IMPORTANCE OF INDIAN TREATIES

1. RESERVED RIGHTS FOR NATIVES

- through treaties, Native
 negotiators reserved rights
 for themselves and their
 future decedents in
 perpetuity (1)
- Native nations could also extend reserved rights to non-Natives

2. SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND

- Indian treaties, like any other treaty the United States ratifies with other nations, are the supreme law of the land
- Only Congress has the authority to abrogate Indian treaties, therefore state governments are not supposed to infringe upon reserved treaty rightds (1)

3. BIND NATIVES AND NON-NATIVES

- Treaties bring people together through political relationships
- Starting point of government to government relationships that should be actively maintained (1)

ADVOCATING FOR TREATY RIGHTS THROUGH NATIVE ACTIVISM

PNW FISHING MOVEMENT

Washington State Fish Wars (1960s & 1970s)

- Direct Native activism by Coast Salish people starting in the 1960s to protect their reserved fishing rights that WA state was actively violating (1)
- Combination of young Native intellectuals and Coast Salish tribal nations to fight infringements of their reserved rights to fish through demonstrations and fish-ins leading to court decisions (1)

• Boldt Decision (1974)

- Judge Boldt ruled that the treaty language of "in common with" meant Indians were entitled to fifty percent of harvestable fish and that conservation regulations did not apply to Native fishers percetnage of harvestbale fish
- Coast Salish peoples "have been fighting for their fishing rights since colonization began" and the outcome of the Boldt decision serves as an example of the power of native activism
 (3)

• Treaty Fishing Rights Today

 Due to activism that led to the Boldt decision, federally recognized tribes are able to exercise their treaty fishing right (1)

REFERENCES:

- 1.(Reid 2020)
- 2.(Dennison 2020)
- 3.(Sterud and Miller 2019)
- 4.(Smithsonian 2018)
- 5. (Fortin and Friedman 2020)



Youth & Allies 2,0000 mile run from North Dakota to Washington, D.C. to draw attention to the Dakota Acess Pipeline

THE #NoDAPL MOVEMENT

• The movement against the Dakota Access Pipeline #NoDAPL

- Started by youth members of the Standing Rock Sioux tribe to protest the installation of an oil pipeline (2)
- Teen advocacy groups like Oceti Sakowin
 Youth & Allies have initiated encampments,
 runs, and other movements to draw
 attnetion to how the pipeline violates treaty
 rights (4)

Pipeline construction violates the Fort Laramie Treaties of 1851 & 1868

 The construction of the pipeline directly violates the second article of the Fort Laramie Treaty, which "guarantees the 'undisturbed use and occupation' of reservation lands surrounding the location of the pipeline" (4)

• Removal of Pipeline (2020)

 Due to the native activism of the members of Standing Rock and supporting native tribes, the federal government ruled to remove the pipeline (5)